



A structured literature review on the role of mindfulness, mindful eating and intuitive eating in changing eating behaviours: effectiveness and associated potential mechanisms

Janet M. Warren^{1*}, Nicola Smith² and Margaret Ashwell³

¹FirstStop Nutrition Ltd, Oxford, UK

²Oxford Clinical Psychology, Oxford, UK

³Ashwell Associates, Ashwell, UK

Abstract

The role of mindfulness, mindful eating and a newer concept of intuitive eating in modulating eating habits is an area of increasing interest. In this structured literature review, a summary of the current evidence is presented, together with details of interventions undertaken and the tools to measure outcomes. It is broad in scope given the emerging evidence base in this area. The review yielded sixty-eight publications: twenty-three interventions in obese/overweight populations; twenty-nine interventions in normal-weight populations; sixteen observational studies, three of which were carried out in overweight/obese populations. Mindfulness-based approaches appear most effective in addressing binge eating, emotional eating and eating in response to external cues. There is a lack of compelling evidence for the effectiveness of mindfulness and mindful eating in weight management. Mindfulness-based approaches may prevent weight gain. Reduced food intake was seen in some of the studies in overweight and obese populations, but this was less apparent in the studies in normal-weight populations. The evidence base for intuitive eating is limited to date and further research is needed to examine its potential in altering eating behaviours. Mindfulness appears to work by an increased awareness of internal, rather than external, cues to eat. Mindfulness and mindful eating have the potential to address problematic eating behaviours and the challenges many face with controlling their food intake. Encouraging a mindful eating approach would seem to be a positive message to be included in general weight management advice to the public.

Key words: Mindful eating: Intuitive eating: Binge eating: Emotional eating: External eating: Obese: Overweight

Introduction

Excessive body weight is one of the most challenging public health issues of our time⁽¹⁾. Our relationship with food is important; a recent UK report on securing a positive 'Food Future' indicated that consumers feel a loss of connection with food that should be preserved⁽²⁾. Problematic food and eating behaviours, for example binge and emotional eating, have been characterised in obesity. In mindfulness, an individual observes their immediate experience using an open and non-judgemental stance⁽³⁾. One of the modern-day pioneers of mindfulness is Jon Kabat Zinn who developed the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) programme which is used in mainstream medicine internationally, particularly in the management of depression⁽⁴⁾. Recent reviews have examined the evidence for mindfulness in the management of pain^(5,6), depression^(7,8) and psychological well-being^(9,10).

In relation to eating behaviours, research began into the use of mindfulness in binge eating with early interventions in the late 1990s when Kristeller & Hallet⁽¹¹⁾ undertook a pilot study

among obese women with binge eating disorder using Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training (MB-EAT). This intervention was later developed for obese populations with or without binge eating disorder⁽¹²⁾. In recent years there has been increased interest in using mindfulness and mindful eating in obese/overweight and normal-weight populations.

Mindful eating consists of making conscious food choices, developing an awareness of physical *v.* psychological hunger and satiety cues⁽¹³⁾, and eating healthfully in response to those cues⁽¹⁴⁾. It is conceptualised as being aware of the present moment when one is eating⁽¹⁵⁾, paying close attention to the effect of the food on the senses, and noting the physical and emotional sensations in response to eating⁽¹⁶⁾. There is no universal definition of mindful eating but these principles are consistent in the literature.

Intuitive eating is a discreet, but complementary term, often used interchangeably with mindful eating. Intuitive eating was originally defined by two US Registered Dietitians in 1995⁽¹⁷⁾ who described ten aspects of intuitive eating including

Abbreviations: ACT, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy; fMRI, functional MRI; MB-EAT, Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training; MBSR, Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction.

* **Corresponding author:** Dr Janet Warren, c/o INCA Central Office, Cobweb Buildings, The Lane, Lyford, Wantage, Oxfordshire OX12 0EE, UK, email janet@firststopnutrition.co.uk



a rejection of 'diets', a discouragement of labelling foods as 'bad', an encouragement to honour hunger, and allow satisfaction with food intake. Both mindful eating and intuitive eating concentrate on internally focused eating⁽¹⁸⁾; intuitive eating, however, does not involve meditation⁽¹⁷⁾.

A number of recent systematic reviews have been published looking at the effectiveness of mindful eating⁽¹⁹⁾, and mindfulness interventions⁽²⁰⁾ in binge eating, and mindfulness-based interventions for eating disorders and obesity and obesity-related behaviours^(21–23). The three reviews that looked at binge eating showed a positive effect of mindfulness in reducing this maladaptive eating behaviour; the effect sizes were moderate⁽²⁰⁾. Furthermore, there was evidence of its efficacy in emotional^(20,23) and external⁽²³⁾ eating. The role of mindfulness in weight management was less apparent^(20,21). The high level of heterogeneity between studies⁽²⁰⁾ and methodological weakness of many studies⁽²²⁾ were highlighted.

Mindfulness is a key component of interventions based on dialectical behaviour therapy and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), which are both third-wave therapies to promote awareness, acceptance and distress tolerance⁽¹⁹⁾.

The aim of this paper is to present the results of a structured literature review looking at the outcomes of mindfulness, including mindful eating and intuitive eating, in changing eating behaviours (including binge eating, emotional eating and eating in response to cues) in healthy populations of any age and weight status. As this is an emerging area of science, the review includes both observational and intervention studies to provide an indication of the direction of future research. The review provides details of the tools used to measure outcomes and the interventions themselves including their theoretical basis. The review also includes a brief summary of potential associated mechanisms that may explain the observed results.

Methodology

The structured review was carried out combining the following search terms and within the three parameters: population, intervention and outcomes. Search terms were combined with 'or' within parameters, and combined with 'and' across parameters. Only peer-reviewed interventions and observational studies were included.

Population

Search terms were: healthy population or adult or child or obese or overweight or binge eating or problematic eating or disordered eating or diabetes or diabetic.

Intervention

Search terms were: mindful eating or mindfulness or mindfulness based intervention or meditation or mindfulness based cognitive behaviour therapy or mindfulness based stress reduction or acceptance based practices or mindful body scan or intuitive eating.

These search terms were also searched in combination with cognitive behaviour/behavior therapy or acceptance and commitment therapy or weight intervention or weight program.

Outcomes

Search terms were: weight or weight related eating behaviour/behavior or overeating or eating behaviour/behavior or eating pattern or dietary behaviour/behavior or dietary pattern or snacking behaviour/behavior or diet quality or energy intake or energy balance or meal frequency or external eating or self-regulation or intuitive eating or mindless eating or mindful eating or distracted eating or appetite awareness or hunger recognition or emotional eating or self-efficacy for eating behaviour/behavior or self-efficacy for healthy eating or self-confidence or wellness or well-being or quality of life.

Databases

The search was conducted using a University search engine which searched multiple databases: AMED (Alternative MEDicine), ASSIA (Applied Social Sciences Index), BioMed central, BMJ open, British Nursing Index, CINAHL (Nursing and Allied Health), Clinical Evidence, Cochrane Library, Conference Proceedings Citations Index, Ebsco, Medline, NICE, PsycARTICLES, PsycINFO, PubMed, Sage Journals Online and Science Direct.

Inclusion criteria

Included were papers consistent with the search strategy outlined above in the databases listed, and English articles published until August 2015.

Exclusion criterion

Excluded were non-English-language papers.

Coding and scoring of results

For both the intervention and observational studies, results were 'coded' and summarised as follows. A 'positive' code indicated the outcome demonstrated a beneficial effect of mindfulness (for example, decreased binge eating, weight loss, and reduced energy intake). A 'neutral' code indicated that there was no significant effect from the intervention. A mixed code indicated that there were some positive and neutral results. 'Negative' codes indicated that the measured outcome was in an opposite direction to the hypothesis.

Outcomes that were measured in three or more studies were ascribed a percentage score calculated by giving all positive codes one point, negative results were given minus one point, and neutral or mixed codes were given no score. The points were added per outcome, divided by the total number of outcomes and expressed as a percentage.

We acknowledge that this is not a conventional way of summarising the results of the studies and does not account for effect size. However, it provides an overview of findings in the wide range of papers included in the review, and gives an indication of whether or not there is consistency in the literature.

Search strategy results

The results of the structured literature review are shown in Fig. 1 and resulted in sixty-eight papers.

113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162




Fig. 1. Literature search results.

Results – intervention studies

The search yielded twenty-three studies (twenty-four papers) in overweight/obese subjects^(11,13,14,16,24–43) and twenty-nine papers describing interventions in normal-weight subjects^(15,18,34,44–61).

Characteristics of the intervention studies

The majority of interventions have taken place in the last 5 years. Most of the interventions in obese/overweight populations were undertaken in female populations, while studies of normal-weight populations included more men. Many of the interventions had small sample sizes of fifty or fewer subjects: fourteen of the overweight/obese studies^(11,13,24,25,27–29,31–33,37,41,43), and ten of the studies in normal-weight populations^(45,47–49,51,54,58–61). Three of the studies in the overweight/obese populations were carried out among children^(28,29,40); one of these studies had a sample size of more than 300 but the results of this study were not clearly described⁽⁴⁰⁾. The majority of the studies⁽¹⁵⁾ among normal-weight populations were performed with students^(15,18,34,46–48,50–53).

Theoretical basis of the interventions in overweight and obese subjects

Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy/mindfulness action-based cognitive therapy, and mindful eating were the most commonly used approaches and were both used in four studies. Table 1 outlines the details of the theoretical basis of these studies.

Theoretical basis of the interventions in normal-weight populations

Mindfulness was the most frequently cited basis in the studies among normal-weight subjects and was reported in eight papers which contained thirteen studies^(34,46,51,53,56–59). Three papers used mindful eating^(15,48,50) and two intuitive eating^(18,47). One paper which contained three studies used emotional awareness⁽⁵⁵⁾. Cognitive defusion⁽⁵²⁾ and ACT were each used in one paper⁽⁴⁹⁾.

Table 1. Theoretical basis of interventions in overweight and obese subjects (n 23)

Theoretical basis	Studies
MBCT or mindfulness action-based therapy	Four studies ^(25,27,33,40)
Mindful eating	Four studies ^(28,29,36,43)
MBSR	Three studies ^(13,30,54)
Mindfulness	Three studies ^(11,32,35,44)
Mindful eating and MB-EAT	Three studies ^(14,16,38,41)
Mindfulness-based action committed therapy	Two studies ^(38,42)
Combination of MBSR, MBCT and MB-EAT	One study ⁽³¹⁾
Acceptance-based behaviour intervention	One study ⁽³⁷⁾
Acceptance of cravings	One study ⁽²⁴⁾
Intuitive eating	One study ⁽²⁶⁾

MBCT, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; MBSR, Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction; MB-EAT, Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training.

Intervention length, intensity and scope

The majority of interventions (n 18) in the overweight/obese populations consisted of sustained weight-management programmes of medium to high intensity carried out for a medium length of time, i.e. 6 weeks to 4 months. Two studies lasted longer than 4 months and two were for a shorter duration with one study not detailing its intervention length. In many of these studies mindfulness was the sole focus of the intervention which often included mindful eating as a key element of the programme. An example of a mindfulness intervention is the one developed by Alberts *et al.* in 2012, a programme with five core components⁽²⁵⁾:

- (1) Mindful eating;
- (2) Awareness of physical sensations;
- (3) Awareness of thoughts and feelings related to eating;
- (4) Acceptance and non-judgement of sensations, thoughts, feelings, and body;
- (5) Awareness and step-by-step change of daily patterns and eating habits.

In contrast, many of the interventions in normal-weight populations were single-day laboratory-based studies such as completion of food tasks, rating of pleasure in food or observed food intake after a test meal. Studies often looked only at a specific practice of mindfulness such as the body scan, or mindful eating of a raisin and were therefore lower-intensity interventions than those in obese/overweight individuals.

Summary of the tools/methods used to measure outcomes in intervention studies

The following two tools were the most frequently used to measure individuals' mindfulness state and awareness:

- (1) The Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire contained thirty-nine items⁽²⁷⁾. It: (a) measures trait (rather than state) mindfulness across five subscales (observing, describing, acting with awareness, non-judging of inner experience and non-reactivity to inner experience); and (b) reports internal consistency of 0.87 (as measured by Cronbach's α).
- (2) The Mindfulness Awareness Attention Scale contained fifteen items⁽⁶²⁾. It: (a) measures trait or dispositional levels

of mindfulness; (b) measures each item on a six-point Likert scale and measures levels of day-to-day awareness, attention and observation; (c) reports reliability of 0.82 (as measured by Cronbach's α).

The Mindful Eating Questionnaire contained twenty-eight items⁽⁶³⁾ and was the only tool used to measure mindful eating. It: (a) assesses five domains of mindful eating (disinhibition, awareness, external cues, emotional response and distraction) on a four-point Likert scale; and (b) reports reliability of 0.7–0.9 (as measured by Cronbach's α).

Intuitive eating, when measured, was evaluated using the Intuitive Eating Scale⁽⁶⁴⁾ which contains twenty-one items. It: (a) assesses each item on a five-point Likert scale; (b) measures readiness to eat in response to internal cues to determine choice and quantity of food; eating to satisfy physical rather than emotional reasons; awareness and trust of internal cues; and (c) reports internal consistency of >0.70 (as measured by Cronbach's α) and test–retest reliability of 0.90 (as measured by Cronbach's α).

Tools used to measure eating-related behaviours

Eating behaviours were most frequently assessed using the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire⁽⁶⁵⁾, the Eating Attitudes Test⁽⁶⁶⁾ and the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire⁽⁶⁷⁾.

The studies reviewed used thirty-two measures of eating behaviour assessing a broad range of eating behaviours, attitudes, cognitions about food and related issues. Some of these were previously validated tools, others adapted, and others developed specifically for a study.

Tools used to measure issues related to food consumption and hunger

The majority of self-report measures involved 24 h recalls which were translated into energy intakes by the investigator. The studies applying objective measures involved the investigator weighing the amount of food consumed, usually within a controlled laboratory environment. The assessment of hunger was always via self-report rating scale, either on an analogue or Likert scale. There were eleven measures of the quantity of food consumed via self-report and objectively weighed.

Summary of results from intervention studies

The results of the intervention studies are presented with results in overweight/obese populations being detailed before those in normal-weight populations. Most intervention effect was seen in binge eating, emotional eating and external eating and more emphasis is given to these interventions, alongside the weight-management interventions in overweight/obese populations. Overall, the studies in overweight/obese populations were relatively longer and more intense compared with those carried out in normal-weight populations. Many of the observational studies were short, day-long laboratory experiments, and these are briefly summarised after the intervention studies.

A summary of the results from the intervention studies is presented in Table 2. In five of the papers in normal-weight

populations, multiple related studies were reported in a single paper and the results have been collated in Table 2^(34,53,55,58,59).

Ten outcomes were given a percentage score as described previously. In studies that measured weight, binge eating, emotional eating, food intake (in overweight and obese populations) and food choice (in normal-weight populations) the score was 50% or above, indicating that most of the results were positive or neutral/mixed. Only two studies had negative results related to weight gain⁽⁵⁴⁾ and an increase of energy intake⁽¹⁸⁾.

Results of physiological health outcomes

Outcomes of weight management interventions. Weight loss was seen in eight^(13,16,28,30,31,37,40,43) of the sixteen studies in overweight/obese population studies. However, the effect sizes varied widely with only three studies reporting significant weight loss^(13,16,37); the latter of these reported a mean weight loss of 12 (SE 4) kg over 6 months. In two studies the weight loss was minimal^(28,30), and in two studies weight was stabilised or weight gain was less in the intervention group^(31,43). In one study results were not reported⁽⁴⁰⁾.

The duration of the eight studies in overweight/obese populations that resulted in weight loss varied from 6 to 24 weeks and sample sizes varied from ten⁽¹³⁾ to 300⁽⁴⁰⁾.

Weight-loss interventions. Of the eight studies that reported weight loss in overweight/obese populations, five of them were comprehensive mindfulness programmes^(13,16,30,31,40) where mindful eating was a component of the programme, although details of the intervention were not reported in one of these studies⁽⁴⁰⁾. The rest of these programmes taught the core elements of mindfulness such as being present in the moment and self-acceptance of the current state. The study with the greatest weight loss held group sessions for 24 weeks based on an acceptance-based behavioural intervention for weight loss; this was a pilot study, which taught key components of this approach including values, acceptance and cognitive defusion using mindfulness⁽³⁷⁾.

Mindful eating in a restaurant setting was the sole focus of one of the eight studies⁽⁴³⁾; the other two studies focused on the regulation of cues⁽²⁸⁾ and used an ACT approach where mindfulness was a core component⁽³⁷⁾.

There was some variation between interventions but the following were key elements of the mindful eating components:

- (1) Mindful eating was taught by a specific exercise such as the 'mindful raisin' exercise;
- (2) Mindfully attending to the eating experience by noticing smell, texture and taste of the food;
- (3) Reducing the speed of eating;
- (4) Guided meditations that focused on awareness of hunger and satiety;
- (5) Mediations to identify eating triggers including emotional triggers;
- (6) Mediations to manage cravings.

An example of how these elements were delivered in a mindfulness intervention is given in Table 3 from a nine-session MB-EAT programme for binge eating⁽¹⁶⁾.

Table 2. Summary of coded results from intervention studies using mindfulness, mindful eating and intuitive eating techniques

Health outcome	Studies (n) (and population)	Coded results*	Score as %*†
Physiological Weight	16 (overweight/obese)	8 positive ^(13,16,28,30,31,37,40,43) 8 neutral/mixed ^(14,24,26,29,34,35,41,42)	50
	3 (normal weight)	2 positive ^(34,55) 1 negative ⁽⁵⁴⁾	33
Physiological risk factors‡ Glycaemic control	2 (overweight/obese) 1 (overweight/obese diabetics)	2 positive ^(13,26) 1 neutral/mixed ⁽¹⁴⁾	
Eating behaviours Binge eating	9 (overweight/obese) 4 (normal weight)	9 positive ^(11,13,16,27,29,33,35,39,42) 4 positive ^(45,49,60,61)	100 100
	Emotional eating	10 (overweight/obese)	70
External eating	1 (normal weight) 5 (overweight/obese)	3 neutral/mixed ^(29,42,43) 1 neutral/mixed ⁽⁵⁴⁾ 4 positive ^(25,28,31,38)	80
	Cravings	1 (normal weight) 1 (overweight/obese) 3 (normal weight)	1 positive ⁽⁶¹⁾ 1 positive ⁽²⁴⁾ 3 neutral/mixed ^(46,56,58)
Eating attitudes Hunger awareness	1 (normal weight) 2 (overweight/obese)	1 positive ⁽⁴⁷⁾ 2 positive ^(11,28)	
Body dissatisfaction	1 (normal weight) 2 (overweight/obese)	1 positive ⁽⁴⁸⁾ 2 positive ^(38,39)	
Food related Food intake	2 (overweight/obese) 4 (overweight/obese)	2 positive ^(38,39) 3 positive ^(14,28,43) 1 neutral/mixed ⁽²⁹⁾	75
	9 (normal weight)	4 positive ^(48,52,53,55) 3 neutral/mixed ^(44,51,54,57) 2 negative ⁽¹⁸⁾	33
Food choice	1 (overweight/obese) 5 (normal weight)	1 positive ⁽⁴¹⁾ 3 positive ^(15,53,55) 2 neutral/mixed ^(50,59)	60

* Note that effect size is not accounted for in the coding of positive, negative and neutral and the code only provides an indicator of the direction of results; it is not trying to assess overall evidence. The 'score' in the final column is merely a convenient summary tool in situations where there have been three or more studies.

† Calculation of score. Only health outcomes with three or more studies are 'scored': a positive study scores +1 point; a negative study scores -1 point; a neutral study scores 0 points; a study with mixed results scores 0 points. The points are summed, divided by the number of studies and expressed as a percentage. An example for effect of mindful eating on weight in overweight/obese: $8 \times 1 = 8/16 = 0.5$, giving a score = 50 %.

‡ Cholesterol and blood pressure.

Table 3. Example of how elements were delivered in a mindfulness intervention from a nine-session Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training (MB-EAT) programme for binge eating⁽¹⁶⁾

Session number	Session content
Session 1	Introduction to self-regulation model, raisin exercise, introduction to mindfulness meditation with practice in group
Session 2	Brief meditation (continues all sessions), mindful eating; exercise (cheese and crackers); concept of mindful eating, body scan
Session 3	Theme: Binge triggers – binge trigger meditation, mindful eating exercise (sweet, high-fat food, such as brownies)
Session 4	Theme: Hunger cues – physiological v. emotional, hunger meditation, eating exercise, mindful food choices (cookies v. chips), healing, self-touch
Session 5	Theme: Taste satiety cues – type and level of cues, taste satiety meditation, seated yoga
Session 6	Theme: Fullness cues – type and level of cues, fullness meditation, pot-luck meal
Session 7	Theme: Forgiveness – forgiveness meditation
Session 8	Theme: Inner wisdom – wisdom meditation, walking meditation
Session 9	Theme: Have others noticed? Where do you go from here?

340 The studies that did not report weight loss or where there was
 341 no intervention effect on weight were more variable in terms of
 342 duration and intensity than the studies above. A couple of the
 343 studies had a mindfulness component as part of an education
 344 programme, with an ACT⁽⁴²⁾ and MB-EAT⁽³⁶⁾ basis and were
 345 similar to the studies where weight loss was observed. Other
 346 studies had a narrower focus, for example internal cues⁽²⁶⁾,

mindful eating⁽²⁹⁾ and mindful breathing⁽⁴¹⁾, and tended to have
 shorter duration of 4–8 weeks. 347 348

Weight loss in normal-weight populations. In two of
 the three normal population studies that measured weight^(34,55),
 weight loss was observed although effect sizes were small;
 these were short-term experimental studies. In one study 349 350 351 352

353 undertaken among veterans with chronic conditions, weight
354 increased⁽⁵⁴⁾.

355 **Other objective physiological measures.** Three studies mea-
356 sured other objective physiological measures – with both
357 improvement and mixed results reported for cardiovascular risk
358 factors in overweight/obese populations^(13,26), and improvement
359 in glycaemic control in diabetics^(14,36). This suggests that mind-
360 fulness interventions can create changes in physiological mark-
361 ers, most likely because of mindfulness-induced changes to
362 eating habits rather than mindfulness *per se* having a direct effect
363 on the physiological marker. This is an area of limited research.

364 *Eating behaviours and food-related outcomes*

365 A range of eating behaviours that may be associated with
366 weight status was measured across the intervention studies
367 including binge eating, emotional eating, external eating and
368 cravings. Food intake and choices were also measured in some
369 studies. A number of the studies showed that mindfulness
370 enabled participants to become more aware of their internal
371 states of hunger and satiety alongside the recognition of specific
372 cues. Other studies showed that mindfulness generated more
373 overall internal awareness, for example thoughts and feelings
374 (which could include satiety and hunger). More generic mind-
375 fulness techniques emerged strongly in the studies. These
376 included the body scan exercise and mindful breathing
377 exercises. Acceptance-based exercises were used in a number
378 of studies connected to recognition of emotions and thoughts.

379 *Eating-related behaviours*

380 **Binge eating.** Binge eating symptoms were measured in nine
381 studies in overweight/obese populations. All the studies reported
382 positive changes in binge eating symptoms over the course of the
383 study, as evidenced by self-report measures. In four of the studies
384 binge eating was a specific target of the intervention^(11,16,33,39).
385 The first of these studies was the initial exploratory work with
386 mindfulness and any eating-related behaviour⁽¹¹⁾.

387 Binge eating symptoms were assessed in four studies in
388 normal-weight populations^(45,49,60,61); three were undertaken
389 in binge-eating-disorder populations. Positive results were seen in
390 all studies.

391 Collectively, these results suggest strong evidence for the effi-
392 cacy of mindfulness in reducing the frequency and intensity of
393 binge eating symptoms. This is particularly notable given the high
394 degree of heterogeneity between the studies in terms of design
395 and intervention.

396 **Binge eating interventions.** Specific mindful eating strategies
397 were included in the majority of studies that measured binge
398 eating. In many cases, this was complemented with generic
399 mindfulness meditations such as breathing techniques and body
400 scans often as part of a mindfulness-based curriculum, for
401 example, MB-EAT⁽¹⁶⁾, MBSR^(39,60) or mindfulness-based cognitive
402 therapy^(27,33). Mindfulness was also sometimes combined with
403 ACT^(42,49) or action-based cognitive therapy⁽⁴⁵⁾. Awareness of

internal hunger cues was not as prevalent in these studies. These
404 findings suggest that in the management of binge eating, the
405 ability to eat mindfully is a key technique.
406

407 **Emotional eating.** Ten studies measured emotional eating in
408 overweight/obese populations. Reductions in emotional eating
409 were reported in seven of these studies^(11,25,30,31,33,35,39) and
410 three studies showed no change^(29,42,43). One of the studies
411 where there was no effect was undertaken among children⁽²⁹⁾,
412 another was focused on restaurant eating only⁽⁴³⁾, and the third
413 was an ACT-based intervention where mindfulness was not the
414 sole focus⁽⁴²⁾. These positive results provide support for the use
415 of mindfulness-based interventions in reducing emotional
416 eating in overweight or obese populations.

417 Only one study measured emotional eating in a normal-weight
418 population⁽⁵⁴⁾ where no impact of the MBSR intervention was
419 seen; this population of veterans with chronic conditions may
420 not be generalisable.

421 **External eating.** External eating was measured in five of the
422 studies within an overweight/obese population. Four of these
423 studies showed a positive change in external eating and were
424 undertaken in populations suffering from stress eating, prob-
425 lematic eating, disordered eating attitudes, and children
426 learning specifically about cues^(25,28,31,38). One study, in this
427 population reported a neutral result⁽⁴²⁾. This was an ACT
428 intervention study over 6 months among women. External
429 eating was measured in one study in a normal-weight popula-
430 tion suffering from binge eating and the intervention reduced
431 binge eating episodes.

432 Taken together, these findings suggest that mindfulness can
433 be helpful in reducing external eating and reduce the respon-
434 siveness of individuals to external cues such as food packaging
435 and time of day. The evidence in normal-weight populations
436 appears to be limited.

437 **External eating and emotional eating interventions.** All
438 studies that looked at external and emotional eating used
439 specific mindful eating techniques in their interventions.
440 Mindfulness techniques such as body scans, breathing exercises
441 and awareness of bodily sensation were utilised in the studies to
442 a varying extent. In the studies done in overweight and obese
443 populations the mindful practice was part of a wider
444 curriculum^(11,25,30,31), or sometimes supported by a one-day
445 workshop⁽³⁸⁾. This suggests that in order to effect change in
446 external and emotional eating, it is important to use techniques
447 that increase awareness of internal states, combined with
448 acceptance techniques. Combining these with mindful eating
449 seems to be important.
450

451 **Cravings.** Cravings were measured in one study in an over-
452 weight/obese population⁽²⁴⁾, which showed a positive response
453 to a mindfulness-based intervention, and in three studies in
454 normal-weight populations, all of which showed mixed/neutral
455 results^(46,56,58). These studies were all laboratory-based studies
456 and two of them were day-long studies only. Given the limited

456 number of studies and their short duration, the role of mind-
457 fullness in reducing cravings remains to be fully elucidated.

458 **Hunger awareness.** Hunger awareness was measured in two
459 overweight/obese populations and positive results were
460 reported in both studies^(11,28). In one of these studies a 12-week
461 curriculum was developed which focused on 'Regulation of
462 Cues'; children in this group had significant reductions in eating
463 in response to external cues and negative states, and attending
464 to food responsiveness⁽²⁸⁾. A study in normal-weight students
465 which made one focus group concentrate on the sensory
466 experience of foods showed a lower pre-snack hunger rating
467 than either of the control groups⁽⁴⁸⁾. These results suggest that
468 mindfulness is effective in increasing hunger awareness,
469 although this was only measured in three studies, so the finding
470 should be considered preliminary.

471 **Body dissatisfaction.** Two studies measured body dissatisfac-
472 tion in relation to mindfulness interventions in overweight/
473 obese populations^(38,39). Both of these studies demonstrated
474 positive results, but this is a limited evidence base from which
475 to suggest that mindfulness can support improvements in body
476 dissatisfaction.

477 **Food intake and choice**

478 Food intake was measured in four studies in overweight/obese
479 populations and three of these reported a reduced energy
480 intake, as measured by self-report via 24h recalls or
481 FFQ^(28,36,43). The first two of these studies were comprehensive
482 education programmes while the third focused on intake at
483 lunchtime only. One study did not find a reduction in energy
484 intake as a result of their intervention among children and their
485 parents⁽²⁹⁾.

486 Food intake was measured in nine studies in normal-weight
487 populations, with four studies reporting a reduction of food
488 intake^(48,52,53,55), four reporting neutral results^(44,51,54,57) and
489 one reporting negative results⁽¹⁸⁾. One of these studies reported
490 that higher levels of intuitive eating was associated with
491 increased food intake in a permissive environment which was
492 an unexpected finding⁽¹⁸⁾. No change in food intake was seen
493 in a study among veterans with chronic health problems⁽⁵⁴⁾. In
494 contrast to the studies in overweight/obese populations, in all
495 but one study⁽⁵⁴⁾, food intake was measured in short-duration,
496 low-intensity experiments in laboratory settings using test meals
497 or snacks rather than in a free-living situation.

498 These results suggest that more evidence is needed before it
499 is possible to say if mindfulness and/or mindful eating can
500 reduce the quantity of food consumed.

501 Food choice was measured in one study in overweight/obese
502 populations which looked at lunches purchased and showed
503 positive trend in choosing healthier meals⁽⁴¹⁾. Food was mea-
504 sured in five studies in normal-weight populations. Three of
505 these studies reported positive food choices^(15,53,55) as a result
506 of mindfulness intervention and two studies reported mixed/
507 neutral results^(50,59). As per food intake, these were low-inten-
508 sity, laboratory studies asking participants to make a snack
509 choice, with the healthier choice being determined as positive.

Results – observational studies

Theoretical basis

512 Eight papers focused on intuitive eating^(18,68–75), five papers
513 focused on mindfulness^(76–80), two papers focused on mindful
514 eating^(81,82) and one paper had a combined mindfulness and
515 mindful eating approach⁽⁸³⁾.

Summary of results from observational studies

516 Sixteen observational studies were identified in the literature
517 search and a summary of the associations observed are shown in
518 the Table 4; it should be noted that some studies investigated
519 more than one association. Many of the observational studies
520 looked at associations between an aspect of eating or mind-
521 fullness and related it to an outcome in an existing cohort, for
522 example level of mindfulness, or intuitive eating and BMI.
523 Associations were made using the tools and scales already out-
524 lined in the intervention studies.

525 Two of the cohorts were substantial, with 1000⁽⁷²⁾ and 2000⁽⁶⁹⁾
526 subjects, respectively. The cohort populations were diverse, with
527 six undertaken among university students^(69–71,73,80,82) and two
528 taken among other young adult populations^(68,71). The remaining
529 cohorts were spread across a wide age spectrum from middle
530 school⁽⁷²⁾ to older obese adults⁽⁷⁸⁾. Four of the cohorts were
531 from overweight/obese populations of which two were waiting
532 for bariatric surgery^(76,77).

533 Intuitive eating was associated with a lower BMI in four
534 studies^(68,70,74,75). Other observations included a decreased
535 emotional eating with higher levels of mindfulness^(76,77,79) and
536 a higher level of everyday mindfulness was related negatively
537 to self-report serve size of energy-dense food⁽⁸³⁾. In one of
538

Table 4. Summary of coded results from observational studies showing the association between mindfulness, mindful eating and intuitive eating and parameters related to health measures and eating behaviours

Associations	Studies (n)	Coded result*
Intuitive eating and decreased BMI	4	Positive ^(68,70,74,75)
Mindful eating and decreased BMI	1	Positive ⁽⁸²⁾
Intuitive eating and blood markers of cardiovascular risk	1	Positive ⁽⁷⁰⁾
Mindfulness and decreased portion size	1	Positive ⁽⁸³⁾
Intuitive eating and observing hunger cues	1	Positive ⁽⁷²⁾
Mindfulness and decreased binge eating	2	Positive ^(76,80)
Mindfulness and decreased emotional eating	3	Positive ^(76,77,79)
Mindfulness and external eating	1	Positive ⁽⁷⁷⁾
Mindfulness and reduced cravings	1	Positive ⁽⁷⁸⁾
Mindfulness and restrained eating	1	Positive ⁽⁷⁵⁾
Intuitive eating and freedom to eat	1	Positive ⁽⁷³⁾
Intuitive eating and interoceptive sensitivity	1	Positive ⁽⁷¹⁾
Trait mindfulness and returning baseline brain network post-food cue exposure	1	Positive ⁽⁷⁸⁾
Mindful eating and mental well-being	1	Positive ⁽⁸¹⁾
Intuitive eating and more pleasure associated with food	1	Positive ⁽⁷⁵⁾
Intuitive eating and increased external and introjection regulation in behaviour questionnaire	1	Positive ⁽⁶⁹⁾

* Note that effect size is not accounted for in the coding of positive. The code only provides an indicator of direction of results and is not trying to assess overall evidence.



539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592

the large cohorts in young adults, there was no difference in intuitive eating by age or sex⁽⁶⁸⁾ while another study which compared males and females in a young adult population found higher levels of anti-dieting and self-care subscales of the intuitive eating scale⁽⁶⁹⁾. One study found males trusting their bodies more than females on when to eat⁽⁶⁸⁾ but this was reversed in a second study⁽⁷²⁾. Another study looking at associations with intuitive eating showed that those who consistently overrode fullness feelings were more likely to use moderate to extreme effort to maintain their weight⁽⁷³⁾.

Interoceptive sensitivity is a measure of awareness of the subject's bodily state; this was positively related to higher levels of intuitive eating⁽⁷¹⁾. An online survey in Pakistan showed a significantly positive relationship between overall mindful eating and mental well-being⁽⁸¹⁾. In one of the group of bariatric patients, greater mindfulness, particularly awareness, was related to fewer binges and emotional eating⁽⁷⁶⁾. Another observation in bariatric patients showed that mindfulness was positively associated with restrained eating behaviour⁽⁷⁷⁾.

These studies indicate that both mindfulness and intuitive eating showed promise in positively influencing complex relationships with food and eating behaviours.

Potential associated mechanisms

The literature search showed a growing evidence base for mindfulness, mindful eating and intuitive eating, but the papers retrieved generally did not discuss underlying mechanisms. Therefore, a further search of the scientific literature was undertaken to provide potential explanations for the observed effects. We identified three separate hypothesised mechanisms that were suggested by functional MRI (fMRI) results; fMRI measures brain activity by detecting associated changes in blood flow.

Increased awareness of, and increased responsiveness to, internal physical cues

Interoception can be measured by an individual's ability to report their heart rate. Interoception is connected with the mindful awareness of an individual's internal state, especially in relation to physical factors such as hunger and satiety. Increased awareness enables individuals to eat in response to these physical cues of hunger and satiety.

Mindful eating has been shown to slow down consumption of a meal and allows both registration of feelings of fullness and greater control over eating^(84,85). Additionally, a slower pace of eating reduces overall energy consumption as individuals feel full on a smaller quantity of food⁽⁸⁶⁾.

One study using fMRI showed a decrease in mid-line cortical structures associated with interoception during a mindfulness body scan. This suggests an increased awareness of internal state⁽⁸⁷⁾. In another study, fMRI results showed reduced activation in the insula⁽⁸⁸⁾ which is the part of the cerebral cortex implicated in self-awareness and perception and hence is linked with interoceptive awareness.

Increased awareness of, and reduced responsiveness to, internal emotional cues

Mindfulness trains individuals to notice distressing thoughts, emotions and sensations. By increasing awareness of these cues, individuals are then able to tolerate their distress and not allow these non-physical cues make them eat more⁽⁷⁷⁾.

By learning to bring the experience fully into awareness many types of distress that would have provoked an automatic reaction can be tolerated. Thus, distress tolerance is increased and automaticity reduced. In relation to emotional eating, mindfulness reduces misperception of emotions as hunger and therefore stops increased eating in response to non-physical cues⁽⁷⁷⁾.

As defusion-reduced reactivity enhances tolerance to non-physical emotional cues, the cultivation of mindfulness becomes self-reinforcing⁽⁸⁹⁾. Further, mindfulness increases the capability to relax when faced with negative events and emotion. This has been found to be especially true in reducing impulsivity, which is associated with mindless overeating⁽⁷⁷⁾.

fMRI studies have shown altered activity levels in the amygdala related to general emotional arousal/salience following mindfulness training. Decreased activation has been found in the amygdala, the parahippocampal area and the insula during perception of negative stimuli in subjects but these activity levels have been regulated following mindfulness training⁽⁹⁰⁾. Others have used fMRI to show that mindfulness makes an impact on the neural systems underlying emotional regulation. It appears to regulate amygdala functioning via functional connectivity from the medial prefrontal cortex⁽⁹¹⁾.

fMRI studies conducted on individuals practising mindfulness have found a significant reduction in activity in the anterior cingulate cortex region which plays a role in the processing of emotion. Findings collectively show that mindfulness meditation has an overall "quietening" effect on brain regions associated with subjective and cognitive appraisal of emotions⁽⁸⁷⁾. Significant self-reported differences in awareness of emotion are unlikely to be simply due to a blunting of the emotional experience but represent more fundamental change in regulatory response⁽⁸⁸⁾.

Anatomical studies lend further support to changes in the areas of the brain associated with emotional regulation. Studies conducted pre- and post-MRI on participants following an 8-week mindfulness programme found an increase in grey matter concentration in the left hippocampus and posterior cingulate cortex, temporo-parietal junction, and cerebellum – areas associated with learning and memory processes, emotional regulation and self-referential processing⁽⁹²⁾.

Increased awareness of, and reduced responsiveness to, external cues

Overeating may reflect a non-mindful reliance on environmental or external cues (for example, packaging size), so that the cues destroy the subject's ability to self-monitor the food they eat⁽⁸³⁾. The use of mindfulness is designed to interrupt these automatic, non-conscious external influences⁽⁹³⁾. Increasing awareness of an individual's reactions to attractive food items, and a recognition of these reactions as passing mental states rather than real experiences, reduced the likelihood of individuals initiating

593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647

Nutrition Research Reviews



purchasing and eating behaviours⁽⁵⁹⁾. Mindfulness practice⁽⁸³⁾ and mindful eating specifically reduced the impact of the external norms around consumption and enabled individuals to reduce their food intake^(34,94).

fMRI has been used to compare results of subjects who received an 8-week mindfulness-based stress reduction course with those of a control group⁽⁹⁵⁾. When asked to pay attention to the external sounds in the scanner environment, the mindfulness group showed increased functional connectivity in auditory and visual networks and the areas associated with attentional and self-referential processes.

These observations from fMRI studies are of interest but are insufficient on their own to explain the mechanism by which mindfulness exerts its effects. More research is needed to fully elucidate further mechanisms and these must explain other influencing behavioural factors.

Discussion

From the papers reviewed there appears to be reasonable evidence that mindfulness, including mindful eating techniques, can help to change eating behaviours. The evidence for the effectiveness of mindfulness and mindful eating in binge eating disorders appears to be the strongest with consistently positive results in both overweight/obese populations and those of normal-weight status. There was also a positive effect on emotional eating as a result of mindfulness interventions and in a lesser number of studies a positive result was seen for reducing eating in response to external cues. This is consistent with the findings of O'Reilly *et al.* in 2014⁽²³⁾, who examined the role of mindfulness with obesity-related behaviours and a review looking at the effectiveness of mindfulness in binge eating^(19,23).

Food cravings, eating attitudes and body dissatisfaction were explored in only a limited number of studies. Although binge eating and emotional eating are important obesity-related behaviours, the results for the use of mindfulness in achieving weight loss in overweight/obese individuals were mixed and generally effect sizes were small. This is not surprising given the complex and multifaceted nature of obesity, which requires a multi-pronged treatment approach. The diversity of the interventions makes it difficult to identify the effective elements. With regard to the interventions that did not report weight loss there were no striking differences from the ones that were successful, except that a couple of the studies were of lower intensity and shorter duration. This diverse range of treatments included mindfulness as part of a third-wave therapy such as ACT, mindfulness curricula based on established programmes in other conditions adapted for eating, for example MBSR and mindfulness-based cognitive therapy, through to less intense interventions which employed mindfulness techniques as stand-alone interventions. However, the majority of successful interventions included mindful eating as part of a comprehensive mindfulness programme. These mindfulness programmes contained core elements of mindfulness including meditation, awareness and being present. A recent review on the effectiveness of mindfulness in achieving weight loss found that the majority of studies did result in weight loss but the extent to which mindfulness could be attributed to the effect was not

apparent⁽²²⁾. This study also noted the wide variation in interventions and methodological weaknesses.

It is important to recognise that many of the studies in binge eating and weight loss did not have a non-intervention control group and did not measure changes in mindfulness. Furthermore, few of the studies had a follow-up so it is not possible to determine long-term success. Despite these methodological weaknesses, the use of mindfulness and mindful eating in weight management and obesity-related behaviours shows promise and the field warrants further investigation.

In contrast to the intervention studies in overweight/obese studies, nearly half of the studies in normal-weight populations were laboratory-based, day-long studies, many of which were carried out among students. A small number of the studies were medium-length interventions (i.e. 6–16 weeks' duration), which highlights the great variation in intensity of factors in the interventions in normal-weight subjects. The interventions were diverse and included teaching intuitive eating, providing mindfulness information, a mindfulness group intervention for 4 months, body scan-guided imagery, mindful eating exercises and mindful awareness. Furthermore, the outcomes varied widely, so comparison between studies was not possible. The outcomes included food choices, food intake, and the following eating behaviours: cravings, binge eating, internal cues, and the relationship with food. Overall, the results indicated a positive role for mindfulness and/or mindful eating on influencing eating behaviours. This avenue of research also merits further research as this has the potential to be relevant to public health nutrition messaging regarding food choices.

The observational studies also provide direction for future research but, by their nature, are evidence of association only. It is interesting to note that, in these observational studies, the focus was on intuitive eating whereas only two interventions^(26,47) were based on intuitive eating. This indicates that intuitive eating is an emerging topic and further research is needed to examine its potential in positively having an impact on eating behaviours. The findings of the observational studies were unanimously positive, demonstrating a beneficial association of mindfulness/mindful eating or intuitive eating.

Overall, many studies were limited by small subject numbers and were also predominately carried out among female populations, the majority of whom were from Caucasian backgrounds. Given the importance of weight reduction in the management of type 2 diabetes and in CVD, it was surprising not to find more studies in these patient groups. This is likely to be an area of study in the future.

While research into the mechanisms of action of mindfulness is ongoing, particularly in relation to mindful eating, concomitant psychological and physiological findings have yielded three key hypotheses about the associated processes involved in the brain. Results from fMRI studies show that mindfulness is associated with reduced amygdala activity and may reduce emotional arousal. Mindfulness possibly works by increasing inner physical cues to eat (hunger and satiety), and decreasing the emotional and external cues to eat.

Only two studies reported negative results regarding the mindfulness or mindful eating intervention (small increases in weight and food intake), and one of these was in a population that is not generalisable.



Strengths and limitations of the present structured review

This structured review provides a literature review about the potential of mindfulness, mindful eating, and intuitive eating to make an impact on eating behaviours. It takes a broad approach, which provides perspective on the state of current research and direction of future research. It is common in research for observational studies to precede interventions where observed associations can be tested in experimental conditions. The description of the interventions and the tools used for outcome measures adds a new element to the systematic reviews undertaken to date in this area.

The review was never intended to be a systematic review and, as such, cannot make categorical statements on the quality of the evidence base. Furthermore, a rigorous critical appraisal of the literature is beyond the scope of this review. ‘Attention’ was not included in the search terms for the interventions undertaken and it has since become apparent that this would have been a relevant search term. Attentive eating is a similar concept to mindful eating and has been shown to reduce food intake^(96,97).

Conclusions

Mindfulness and mindful eating interventions appear to be most successful in the reduction of binge eating regardless of weight status. Mindfulness and mindful eating interventions also reduced emotional eating and eating in response to external cues which are important behaviours related to obesity.

In overweight individuals, the impact of mindfulness and mindful eating on reducing body weight was mixed; it might be that mindfulness and mindful eating have a role to play in the prevention of weight gain. Mindfulness/mindful eating resulted in a positive shift in food habits and in the quantities of food consumed in some studies. There is evidence both for the efficacy of one-off mindfulness interventions and also for the benefit of repeated practice on improving outcomes. On the basis of this review, encouraging a mindful approach to eating appears to be a positive message to be included in general weight-management advice to the public.

Acknowledgements

The present review was funded by an unconditional grant from Mondelez International. The authors are all independent freelance consultants and followed guidelines for scientific integrity from the inception of the review to final drafting of the manuscript. Mondelez International did not have any role in undertaking the review or interpreting the results.

The authors have no declarations of interest to declare.

References

1. National Obesity Observatory & Public Health England (2011) Adult obesity. http://www.noo.org.uk/NOO_about_obesity/adult_obesity (accessed January 2017).
2. Food Standards Agency (2016) Our food future. <https://www.food.gov.uk/news-updates/campaigns/ourfoodfuture> (accessed January 2017).
3. Bishop SR, Lau M, Shapiro S, *et al.* (2004) Mindfulness: a proposed operational definition. *Clin Psych Sci Pract* **11**, 230–241.

4. Kabat-Zinn J (1990) *Full Catastrophe Living: Using the Wisdom of Your Body and Mind to Face Stress, Pain, and Illness*. New York: Delacorte Press.
5. Chiesa A & Serretti A (2011) Mindfulness-based interventions for chronic pain: a systematic review of the evidence. *J Altern Complement Med* **17**, 83–93.
6. Zeidan F, Grant JA, Brown CA, *et al.* (2012) Mindfulness meditation-related pain relief: evidence for unique brain mechanisms in the regulation of pain. *Neurosci Lett* **520**, 165–173.
7. Klainin-Yobas P, Cho MA & Creedy D (2012) Efficacy of mindfulness-based interventions on depressive symptoms among people with mental disorders: a meta-analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud* **49**, 109–121.
8. Piet J & Hougaard E (2011) The effect of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for prevention of relapse in recurrent major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psych Rev* **31**, 1032–1040.
9. Chiesa A & Serretti A (2009) Mindfulness-based stress reduction for stress management in healthy people: a review and meta-analysis. *J Altern Complement Med* **15**, 593–600.
10. Hofmann SG, Grossman P & Hinton DE (2011) Loving-kindness and compassion meditation: potential for psychological interventions. *Clin Psych Rev* **31**, 1126–1132.
11. Kristeller JL & Hallett CB (1999) An exploratory study of a meditation-based intervention for binge eating disorder. *J Health Psychol* **4**, 357–363.
12. Kristeller J, Baer R & Quilian-Wolever R (2006) Mindfulness-based approaches to eating disorders. In *Mindfulness-based Treatment Approaches*, pp. 75–93 [R Baer, editor]. Burlington, MA: Academic Press.
13. Dalen J, Smith BW, Shelley BM, *et al.* (2010) Pilot study: Mindful Eating and Living (MEAL): weight, eating behavior, and psychological outcomes associated with a mindfulness-based intervention for people with obesity. *Complement Ther Med* **18**, 260–264.
14. Miller CK, Kristeller JL, Headings A, *et al.* (2014) Comparison of a mindful eating intervention to a diabetes self-management intervention among adults with type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *Health Educ Behav* **41**, 145–154.
15. Hendrickson KL & Rasmussen EB (2013) Effects of mindful eating training on delay and probability discounting for food and money in obese and healthy-weight individuals. *Behav Res Ther* **51**, 399–409.
16. Kristeller JL, Wolever RQ & Sheets V (2014) Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training (MB-EAT) for binge eating: a randomized clinical trial. *Mindfulness* **5**, 282–297.
17. Mathieu J (2009) What should you know about mindful and intuitive eating? *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 1982–1987.
18. Anderson DA, Schaumberg K, Anderson LM, *et al.* (2015) Is level of intuitive eating associated with plate size effects? *Eat Behav* **18**, 125–130.
19. Godfrey KM, Gallo LC & Afari N (2015) Mindfulness-based interventions for binge eating: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Behav Med* **38**, 348–362.
20. Katterman SN, Kleinman BM, Hood MM, *et al.* (2014) Mindfulness meditation as an intervention for binge eating, emotional eating, and weight loss: a systematic review. *Eat Behav* **15**, 197–204.
21. Godsey J (2013) The role of mindfulness based interventions in the treatment of obesity and eating disorders: an integrative review. *Complement Ther Med* **21**, 430–439.
22. Olson KL & Emery CF (2015) Mindfulness and weight loss: a systematic review. *Psychosom Med* **77**, 59–67.



- 881 23. O'Reilly GA, Cook L, Spruijt-Metz D, *et al.* (2014) Mindfulness-
882 based interventions for obesity-related eating behaviours:
883 a literature review. *Obes Rev* **15**, 453–461.
- 884 24. Alberts HJ, Mulkens S, Smeets M, *et al.* (2010) Coping with
885 food cravings. investigating the potential of a mindfulness-
886 based intervention. *Appetite* **55**, 160–163.
- 887 25. Alberts HJ, Thewissen R & Raes L (2012) Dealing with
888 problematic eating behaviour. The effects of a mindfulness-
889 based intervention on eating behaviour, food cravings,
890 dichotomous thinking and body image concern. *Appetite* **58**,
891 847–851.
- 892 26. Bacon L, Stern JS, Van Loan MD, *et al.* (2005) Size acceptance
893 and intuitive eating improve health for obese, female chronic
894 dieters. *J Am Diet Assoc* **105**, 929–936.
- 895 27. Baer R, Fischer S & Huss D (2005) Mindfulness and
896 acceptance in the treatment of disordered eating. *J Rat-Emo*
897 *Cognitive-Behav Ther* **23**, 281–300.
- 898 28. Boutelle KN, Zucker N, Peterson CB, *et al.* (2014) An
899 intervention based on Schachter's externality theory for
900 overweight children: the regulation of cues pilot. *J Pediatr*
901 *Psychol* **39**, 405–417.
- 902 29. Boutelle KN, Zucker NL, Peterson CB, *et al.* (2011) Two novel
903 treatments to reduce overeating in overweight children: a
904 randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol* **79**, 59–71.
- 905 30. Corsica J, Hood MM, Katterman S, *et al.* (2014) Development
906 of a novel mindfulness and cognitive behavioral intervention
907 for stress-eating: a comparative pilot study. *Eat Behav* **15**,
908 694–699.
- 909 31. Daubenmier J, Kristeller J, Hecht FM, *et al.* (2011) Mindfulness
910 intervention for stress eating to reduce cortisol and abdominal
911 fat among overweight and obese women: an exploratory
912 randomized controlled study. *J Obes* **2011**, 651936.
- 913 32. Kidd LI, Graor CH & Murrock CJ (2013) A mindful eating
914 group intervention for obese women: a mixed methods
915 feasibility study. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* **27**, 211–218.
- 916 33. Leahey T, Crowther J & Irwin S (2008) A cognitive-behavioral
917 mindfulness group therapy intervention for the treatment of
918 binge eating in bariatric surgery patients. *Cog Behav Pract* **15**,
919 364–375.
- 920 34. Mantzios M & Wilson JC (2014) Making concrete construals
921 mindful: a novel approach for developing mindfulness
922 and self-compassion to assist weight loss. *Psychol Health* **29**,
923 422–441.
- 924 35. Mason AE, Lustig RH, Brown RR, *et al.* (2015) Acute responses
925 to opioidergic blockade as a biomarker of hedonic eating
926 among obese women enrolled in a mindfulness-based weight
927 loss intervention trial. *Appetite* **91**, 311–320.
- 928 36. Miller CK, Kristeller JL, Headings A, *et al.* (2012) Comparative
929 effectiveness of a mindful eating intervention to a diabetes
930 self-management intervention among adults with type 2
931 diabetes: a pilot study. *J Acad Nutr Diet* **112**, 1835–1842.
- 932 37. Niemeier HM, Leahey T, Palm Reed K, *et al.* (2012) An
933 acceptance-based behavioral intervention for weight loss:
934 a pilot study. *Behav Ther* **43**, 427–435.
- 935 38. Pearson A, Follette V & Hayes S (2012) A pilot study of
936 acceptance and commitment therapy as a workshop inter-
937 vention for body dissatisfaction and disordered eating
938 attitudes. *Cog Behav Pract* **19**, 181–197.
- 939 39. Smith BW, Shelley BM, Leahigh L, *et al.* (2006) A preliminary
940 study of the effects of a modified mindfulness intervention
941 on binge eating. *J Evidence-Base Complem Altern Med* **11**,
942 133–143.
- 943 40. Soleimanianadegani M & Shahmohammadi N (2013) The
944 impact of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy on anthropo-
945 metric indices balance in high-school students in Iran. *Proc*
946 *Social Behav Sci* **84**, 542–548.
- 947 41. Stites SD, Singletary SB, Menasha A, *et al.* (2015) Pre-ordering
948 lunch at work. *Results of the what to eat for lunch study.*
949 *Appetite* **84**, 88–97.
- 950 42. Tapper K, Shaw C, Ilsley J, *et al.* (2009) Exploratory
951 randomised controlled trial of a mindfulness-based weight
952 loss intervention for women. *Appetite* **52**, 396–404.
- 953 43. Timmerman GM & Brown A (2012) The effect of a mindful
954 restaurant eating intervention on weight management
955 in women. *J Nutr Educ Behav* **44**, 22–28.
- 956 44. Cavanagh K, Vartanian LR, Herman CP, *et al.* (2014) The effect
957 of portion size on food intake is robust to brief education and
958 mindfulness exercises. *J Health Psychol* **19**, 730–739.
- 959 45. Courbasson CM, Nishikawa Y & Shapira LB (2011)
960 Mindfulness-action based cognitive behavioral therapy for
961 concurrent binge eating disorder and substance use disorders.
962 *Eat Disord* **19**, 17–33.
- 963 46. Hamilton J, Fawson S, May J, *et al.* (2013) Brief guided
964 imagery and body scanning interventions reduce food
965 cravings. *Appetite* **71**, 158–162.
- 966 47. Healy N, Joram E, Matvienko O, *et al.* (2015) Impact of an
967 intuitive eating education program on high school students'
968 eating attitudes. *Health Educ* **115**, 214–228.
- 969 48. Higgs S & Donohoe JE (2011) Focusing on food during lunch
970 enhances lunch memory and decreases later snack intake.
971 *Appetite* **57**, 202–206.
- 972 49. Hill M, Masuda A, Melcher H, *et al.* (2015) Acceptance
973 and commitment therapy for women diagnosed with
974 binge eating disorder: a case-series study. *Cog Behav Pract*
975 **22**, 367–378.
- 976 50. Hong P, Lishner D & Han K (2014) Mindfulness and
977 eating: an experiment examining the effect of mindful
978 raisin eating on the enjoyment of sampled food. *Mindfulness*
979 **5**, 80–87.
- 980 51. Jacobs J, Cardaciotto L, Block-Lerner J, *et al.* (2013) A pilot
981 study of a single-session training to promote mindful eating.
982 *Adv Mind Body Med* **27**, 18–23.
- 983 52. Jenkins KT & Tapper K (2014) Resisting chocolate temptation
984 using a brief mindfulness strategy. *Br J Health Psychol* **19**,
985 509–522.
- 986 53. Jordan C, Wanga W, Donatoni L, *et al.* (2014) Mindful eating:
987 trait and state mindfulness predict healthier eating behavior.
988 *Pers Indiv Diff* **68**, 107–111.
- 989 54. Kearney DJ, Milton ML, Malte CA, *et al.* (2012) Participation in
990 mindfulness-based stress reduction is not associated with
991 reductions in emotional eating or uncontrolled eating. *Nutr*
992 *Res* **32**, 413–420.
- 993 55. Kidwell B, Hasford J & Hardesty D (2015) Emotional ability
994 training and mindful eating. *J Marketing Res* **LI**, 105–119.
- 995 56. Lacaille J, Ly J, Zacchia N, *et al.* (2014) The effects of
996 three mindfulness skills on chocolate cravings. *Appetite* **76**,
997 101–112.
- 998 57. Marchiori D & Papies EK (2014) A brief mindfulness
999 intervention reduces unhealthy eating when hungry, but not
1000 the portion size effect. *Appetite* **75**, 40–45.
- 1001 58. May J, Andrade J, Batey H, *et al.* (2010) Less food for thought.
1002 Impact of attentional instructions on intrusive thoughts about
1003 snack foods. *Appetite* **55**, 279–287.
- 1004 59. Papies E, Barsalou L & Custers R (2012) Mindful attention
1005 prevents mindless impulses. *Soc Psych Pers Sci* **3**, 291–299.
- 1006 60. Smith BW, Shelley BM, Dalen J, *et al.* (2008) A pilot study
1007 comparing the effects of mindfulness-based and cognitive-
1008 behavioral stress reduction. *J Altern Complem Med* **14**,
1009 251–258.
- 1010 61. Woolhouse H, Knowles A & Crafti N (2012) Adding mind-
1011 fulness to CBT programs for binge eating: a mixed-methods
1012 evaluation. *Eat Disord* **20**, 321–239.



62. Brown KW & Ryan RM (2003) The benefits of being present: mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. *J Pers Soc Psychol* **84**, 822–848.
63. Framson C, Kristal AR, Schenk JM, *et al.* (2009) Development and validation of the Mindful Eating Questionnaire. *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 1439–1444.
64. Tylka TL & Kroon Van Diest AM (2013) The Intuitive Eating Scale-2: item refinement and psychometric evaluation with college women and men. *J Couns Psychol* **60**, 137–153.
65. Van Strien T, Frijters JER & Bergers GPA (1986) The Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQ) for assessment of restrained, emotional, and external eating behavior. *Int J Eat Disord* **5**, 295–316.
66. Garner D, Olmsted MP, Bohr Y, *et al.* (1982) The Eating Attitudes Test – psychometric features and clinical correlates. *Psychol Med* **12**, 871–878.
67. Black CMD & Wilson GT (1996) Assessment of eating disorders: interview *v.* questionnaire. *Int J Eat Disord* **20**, 43–50.
68. Denny KN, Loth K, Eisenberg ME, *et al.* (2013) Intuitive eating in young adults. Who is doing it, and how is it related to disordered eating behaviors? *Appetite* **60**, 13–19.
69. Gast J, Madanat H & Nielson AC (2012) Are men more intuitive when it comes to eating and physical activity? *Am J Mens Health* **6**, 164–171.
70. Hawks S, Madanat H, Hawks J, *et al.* (2005) The relationship between intuitive eating and health indicators among college women. *Am J Health Educ* **36**, 331–316.
71. Herbert BM, Blechert J, Hautzinger M, *et al.* (2013) Intuitive eating is associated with interoceptive sensitivity. Effects on body mass index. *Appetite* **70**, 22–30.
72. Moy J, Petrie TA, Dockendorff S, *et al.* (2013) Dieting, exercise, and intuitive eating among early adolescents. *Eat Behav* **14**, 529–532.
73. Outland L, Madanat H & Rust F (2013) Intuitive eating for a healthy weight. *Primary Health Care* **23**, 22–28.
74. Sairanen E, Tolvanen A, Karhunen L, *et al.* (2015) Psychological flexibility and mindfulness explain intuitive eating in overweight adults. *Behav Modif* **39**, 557–579.
75. Smith T & Hawks S (2006) Intuitive eating, diet composition, and the meaning of food in healthy weight promotion. *Am J Health Educ* **37**, 130–136.
76. Levin ME, Dalrymple K, Himes S, *et al.* (2014) Which facets of mindfulness are related to problematic eating among patients seeking bariatric surgery? *Eat Behav* **15**, 298–305.
77. Ouwens MA, Schiffer AA, Visser LI, *et al.* (2015) Mindfulness and eating behaviour styles in morbidly obese males and females. *Appetite* **87**, 62–67.
78. Paolini B, Burdette JH, Laurienti PJ, *et al.* (2012) Coping with brief periods of food restriction: mindfulness matters. *Front Aging Neurosci* **4**, 13.
79. Pidgeon A, Lacota K & Champion J (2013) The moderating effects of mindfulness on psychological distress and emotional eating behaviour. *Aust Psychol* **48**, 262–269.
80. Roberts K & Danoff-Burg S (2010) Mindfulness and health behaviors: is paying attention good for you? *J Am Coll Health* **59**, 165–173.
81. Khan Z & Zadeh Z (2014) Mindful eating and its relationship with mental well-being. *Soc Behav Sci* **159**, 69–73.
82. Moor K, Scott A & McIntosh W (2013) Mindful eating and its relationship to body mass index and physical activity among university students. *Mindfulness* **4**, 269–274.
83. Beshara M, Hutchinson AD & Wilson C (2013) Does mindfulness matter? Everyday mindfulness, mindful eating and self-reported serving size of energy dense foods among a sample of South Australian adults. *Appetite* **67**, 25–29.
84. Gerweck C & Celentano J (2015) The real weighty issue: losing is just the beginning. *Am J Life Med* **9**, 212–216.
85. Lofgren I (2015) Mindful eating: an emerging approach for healthy weight management. *Am J Life Med* **9**, 212–216.
86. Monroe J (2015) Mindful eating: principles and practice. *Am J Life Med* **9**, 217–220.
87. Ives-Deliperi VL, Solms M & Meintjes EM (2011) The neural substrates of mindfulness: an fMRI investigation. *Soc Neurosci* **6**, 231–242.
88. Farb NA, Anderson AK, Mayberg H, *et al.* (2010) Minding one's emotions: mindfulness training alters the neural expression of sadness. *Emotion* **10**, 25–33.
89. Caldwell K, Baime M & Wolever R (2012) Mindfulness based approaches to obesity and weight loss maintenance. *J Ment Health Couns* **34**, 269–282.
90. Lutz J, Herwig U, Opialla S, *et al.* (2014) Mindfulness and emotion regulation – an fMRI study. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* **9**, 776–785.
91. Murakami H, Katsunuma R, Oba K, *et al.* (2015) Neural networks for mindfulness and emotion suppression. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0128005.
92. Hölzel BK, Carmody J, Vangel M, *et al.* (2011) Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density. *Psychiatry Res* **191**, 36–43.
93. Forman EM & Butryn ML (2015) A new look at the science of weight control: how acceptance and commitment strategies can address the challenge of self-regulation. *Appetite* **84**, 171–180.
94. Wansink B (2010) From mindless eating to mindlessly eating better. *Physiol Behav* **100**, 454–463.
95. Kilpatrick LA, Suyenobu BY, Smith SR, *et al.* (2011) Impact of mindfulness-based stress reduction training on intrinsic brain connectivity. *NeuroImage* **56**, 290–298.
96. Higgs S (2015) Manipulations of attention during eating and their effects on later snack intake. *Appetite* **92**, 287–294.
97. Robinson E, Kersbergen I & Higgs S (2014) Eating 'attentively' reduces later energy consumption in overweight and obese females. *Br J Nutr* **112**, 657–661.